VACCINES AND VACCINATION PROGRAMS USED TO ERADICATION AND CONTROL OF RABIES IN WILDLIFE

Vlad VUTA^{1*, 2}, Florica BARBUCEANU^{1, 2}, Gabriel PREDOI², Constantin VLAGIOIU²

¹ Institute for Diagnosis and Animal Health, Bucharest, Romania

² University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine-Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bucharest, Romania

*Corresponding author email: vladvuta@yahoo.com

Abstract

Rabies is a fatal viral zoonosis of the central nervous system of mammals. Until recently, rabies was predominantly in domestic dogs, although outbreaks were reports in wildlife. The implementation of dog mass vaccination resulted in the disappearance of dog-mediated rabies in Europe and North America, but the disease unexpectedly re-emerged in wildlife. Oral rabies vaccination (ORV) programs in wildlife are highly effective in control and eradication the disease. After years of successful vaccination campaigns, many previously infected countries in Western, Central and Northern European have become free of rabies. All rabies vaccines used for wildlife immunization are derivatives of the original SAD strain. Currently, five vaccine strains are authorized in Europe and all are derivatives of the original SAD strain. SAD B19, SAG2, GASGAS and V-RG vaccine (Vaccinia Recombinant Glycoprotein). In our paper, we described and analyzed the main characteristics of available vaccines from Europe, rabies has been eliminated using oral vaccination with all available vaccines. Nevertheless, worries still exist related to the residual pathogenicity of attenuated live vaccines that could induce rabies in certain conditions.

Keywords: rabies, vaccines, foxes, wildlife.

INTRODUCTION

Rabies is a Central Nervous System zoonotic disease, with the causative agent Rabies virus, the negative-sense single stranded RNA viruses of the Lyssavirus genus within the family Rhabdoviridae, distributed worldwide and found in terrestrial mammals causing between 37,000 and 87,000 human deaths annually (Virus Taxonomy 9th report, 2012; WHO Expert Consultation on Rabies, second report, 2013). In Europe the major reservoir of rabies are wild animals, especially red fox (Vulpes vulpes) (Cliquet, 2015). Extensive oral vaccination programs (ORV) with baits for red foxes have reduced the incidence of rabies in many Western European countries (Slate et al., 2009; Zienius et al., 2011).

All rabies vaccines used in oral rabies vaccination (ORV) programs of wild animals are based on live vaccine viruses. In the EU, all rabies vaccines need to fulfill the requirements of European Pharmacopoeia monograph, Rabies vaccine (live, oral) for foxes and raccoon dogs: efficacy, safety and stability and have to be licensed or registered. Therefore, the objective of this paper was to describe and analyze the main characteristics of available vaccines from Europe market for oral vaccination of wild animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Oral Rabies Vaccination Programs

The first fox rabies vaccination field trial using vaccine baits was applied in Switzerland in 1978 (Steck et al., 1982).

The strategy of ORV initially used to red fox proved to be effective in raccoon dog populations as well in several countries (Finland, Baltic countries and Poland) where this animal has a significant role in rabies epidemiology. The first field trial for elimination of rabies in wildlife in areas with a significant raccoon dog population was carried out in Finland in 1988 (EFSA, 2015).

Same time, administrative and political borders may constitute barriers to the movement of foxes, but in most cases vaccination zones need to be clearly defined and vaccination campaigns synchronized across these administrative lines.

Examples of cross-border re-infections are a lot (Schaarschmidt et al., 2002). These could be prevented by synchronizing control measures on both sides of political or administrative borders and if this is not possible, by the maintenance of an immune belt or buffer zone at the border.

Vaccination programs are required to be conducted and monitored by a scientific team dedicated and deeply involved to this task. The team needs to be trained in field surveys and use validated and accreditated laboratory methods for rabies diagnosis, titration of vaccines, evaluation of bait uptake by the target species, and rabies antibody level. The entire procedure, including bait distribution in the field, needs to be very carefully processed, followed and very well documented. Based on experience in previous oral rabies vaccination campaigns, it is considered crucial that vaccination campaigns must to continue for a period of at least two years after the last reported case of fox-related rabies. The classical pattern of two vaccination campaigns per year, carried out in spring and autumn, has been shown to be successful whatever the fox population density. This two times distribution frequency has been used in all European programs of oral vaccination that resulted in the elimination of rabies (Zanoni et al., 2000; Breitenmoser et al., 2000; Bruyère and Janot, 2000; Brochier et al., 2001; Besch, 2001). Spring distribution is preferably carried out in May or June in order to increase the efficient access of fox cubs to baits. However, early spring campaign carried out in March-April was also shown to be beneficial in Belgium, Luxembourg, and several German Bundesländer (Brochier et al., 1996; Brochier et al., 2001). Where snow is abundant, its melting may degrade the vaccine baits, and in this case is preferably performed before the snow starts to melt. Autumn distribution is organized in September or October (EC, 2002).

There are used 25 baits/ campaign/km2 with a distance between flight lines of 500 meters and 150 meters altitude, by avoiding the territories of localities, water surfaces, highways (Vuta et al., 2016a; Vuta et al., 2016c).

In general, vaccination is not advised to be carried out at temperatures below 0°C, because: frozen vaccine baits do not induce a sufficient immune response and the virus titre may decrease due to freezing-thawing cycles, except for VRG which has been found to remain stable in such conditions (Pastoret et al., 1996). Vaccination using attenuated rabies virus vaccines is not recommended during hot weather. At temperatures above 30°C, melting of the bait occurs and vaccine titer decreases.

Oral animal vaccines against Rabies

In the EU, rabies vaccines used for oral vaccination need to be registered and to comply with the requirements of the European Pharmacopoeia monograph (European Pharmacopoeia, 2005) and with national regulations for veterinary biological products, with particular aspect of efficacy, safety and potency of the vaccine virus and to genetic strain stability (EFSA 2015).

Vaccine baits should contain a biomarker (usually tetracycline) to monitor the bait up-take. Vaccines for ORV currently available and authorized in the EU market are made of the following strains: SAD B19 (live attenuated); SAD Bern (live attenuated); SAG 2 (live attenuated); V-RG (live recombinant); GASGAS (live recombinant).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

At a 45 days'time following each vaccination campaign, there shall be performed the hunting of foxes in order to assess the efficiency of vaccination, for this purpose, there shall be shot 4 foxes/year/100 km2. For the monitoring of vaccination campaign, there shall be taken samples of thoracic liquids in order to determine post-vaccinal antirabies antibodies and samples of mandible in order to determine vaccinal marker (Tetracycline) (Vuta et al., 2017) (figure 1).

All vaccine strains currently used for oral vaccination programs are modified live-virus vaccines and a live recombinant vaccine.

• SAD family strains vaccines

Modified live-virus vaccines are all derived of the original SAD (Street Alabama Dufferin) attenuated virus (which was isolated from a rabid dog in the Alabama (USA) in 1935), after that it was passaged in mouse brain cells (ERA strain); then it was adapted to BHK cell line by various passages (SAD Berne). Lysvulpen vaccine (Bioveta, Czech Republic) is an attenuated SAD Berne vaccine. SADB19 and SAD P5/88 vaccines (Impfsoffwerk Dessau-Tornau are produced by several passages on cloned BHK cell line of the SAD Berne strain (Mahl et al., 2014). Those successive and continues selections from the original strain may produce hazardous and uncontrolled results, and variants may remain pathogenic for target and nontarget species.

• Vaccine strains selected by monoclonal antibodies

SAG1 and SAG2 vaccines (Street Alabama Gif) (Virbac, France) are selected from the SAD Berne strain after one and two successive mutations of the arginine 333 codon, using specific antirabies glycoprotein monoclonal anti-

bodies, in a site of the genome whose integrity is required for pathogenicity by the oral route (Lafay et al., 1994). SAG2 vaccine is the only rabies oral vaccine registered at the European Medicine Agency, for the moment.

• Live recombinant vaccine

VRG vaccine (vaccinia recombinant glycoprotein) is a vaccinia virus (Copenhagen strain) recombinant coding for the rabies glycoprotein gene from the ERA strain. The already attenuated Copenhagen strain was even more attenuated thanks to the replacement of the thymidine kinase gene by the cDNA of the rabies glycoprotein increasing rabies immunity (Ruprecht et al., 1992).

The vaccine strain SPBN GASGAS (Rabitec, IDT, Germany) is a recombinant rabies virus



Figure 1. A-vaccine baits. B-special saw used to cut bones/teeth. C-thin bone/teeth section. D-fluorescent tetracycline biomarker deposit, detected by UV light microscopy

which has been derived by site directed mutagenesis from the most widely used oral rabies vaccine for wildlife, the passage attenuated vaccine strain SAD B19. The recombinant virus has been further attenuated compared to the SAD B19 strain by three targeted genetic modifications (EMEA, 2017).

The history of rabies vaccine-strains used in ORV programs is presented in figure 2.

Quality criteria of vaccine baits include stability testing of both vaccine (vaccine titer, genetic and thermo stability) and bait casing (appearance, melting point and temperature stability). As ORV campaigns are also to be conducted nearby human settlements, a number of minimum criteria and precaution measures have to be considered to minimize the risk of humans to come in contact with vaccine baits including mechanical stability of the vaccine bait, warning labels on blisters. Cold chain as specified by the manufacturer (usually -20°C or less) has to be maintained while vaccine baits are stored, during transportation and delivery directly to the customer. Maintenance of the cold chain has to be documented using calibrated temperature loggers or equivalent equipment and written evidence has to be provided. Storage under any other conditions prior to distribution in the field may have high negative impact on the vaccine titer. The vaccine viral titer of all batches should therefore be verified right before the campaigns by the competent authority and approved laboratory. Such tests should be performed in qualified laboratories, preferably accredited to EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 with documented, validated methods and standard operational procedures (EFSA, 2015).

The main characteristics of vaccines used in the EU have been summarized in Table 1 and Table 2.



Figure 2. The history of rabies vaccine-strains used in ORV programs. ERA = Evelyn Rokitnicki Abelseth, Mab = monoclonal antibody. The rabies virus SAD strain was isolated from the salivary glands of a rabid dog in the USA during 1935, which was passaged in mice, chick embryos, and various cell lines and was re-named ERA (Evelyn Rokitnicki Abelseth). The SAD Bern strain is a cell line-adapted derivative from the ERA strain. The SAD Bern strain was cultivated using monoclonal antibodies binding specifically to one of the two major antigenic sites (antigenic site III) of the rabies virus glycoprotein, involved in pathogenicity. Under the selective pressure of these monoclonal antibodies, only variants of SAD Bern bearing an amino-acid substitution at the critical position 333 of the rabies virus glycoprotein escaped neutralisation in cell culture. An avirulent mutant, SAG1 (for SAD Avirulent Gif), in which arginine at position 333 was substituted by serine, was isolated from SAD Bern with monoclonal antibody (Mab) 50 AD1. The SAG2 strain was constructed from SAD Bern in a two-step selection procedure using neutralizing monoclonal antibodies. First, a mutant strain (SK) was selected from SAD Bern, where the arginine at position 333 was replaced by lysine. SAG2, a non pathogenic mutant resistant to neutralisation by monoclonal antibody 50 AC1 was selected from SK, where the lysine at position 333 was replaced by a glutamic acid. Therefore, SAG2 can be considered as a double avirulent mutant, since the codon GAA, which codes for glutamic acid, differs from the codon AGA from SAD Bern (coding for arginine) by two nucleotides. The vaccine strain SPBN GASGAS is a recombinant rabies virus which has been derived by site directed mutagenesis from the passage attenuated vaccine strain SAD B19. The recombinant strain has been further attenuated compared to the SAD B19 strain by three targeted genetic modifications.

Table 1. The main features of oral rabies vaccines used in the EU (Data compiled from manufacturers and EMEA)

| VaccineVRGSAG2SAD B19SAD P5/88SPBN GASGASProprietary nameRaboralRabigenFuchsoralRabifoxRabitecCompanyMerialVirbacIDTIDTIDTQualityVaccine titer,>8 log10 TCID50/dose>8 log10 TCID50/dose7 log10 FFU/ml7 log10 FFU/ml10 ^{6.8} - 10 ^{8.1} FFU/1.7 mlThermostability, virusStable (time and temperature details not available)0.16 log10 reduction after 2 days at 25°0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°CNot mentionedSafetyNon-target species52approx. 30approx. 20approx. 15approx. 7Tested Horizontal transmissionNone in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferretsNone in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogsNone in foxes, and 10 passages in suckling miceNone (no information n on species)May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- la palatinaNo Reversion to virulence after7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassages in foxe5 backpassages in suckling mice5 backpassages in suckling mice10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Proprietary nameRaboralRabigenFuchsoralRabifoxRabitecCompanyMerialVirbacIDTIDTIDTIDTQualityVaccine titer,>8 log10 TCID50/dose>8 log10 TCID50/dose7 log10 FFU/ml7 log10 FFU/ml10 ^{6.8} - 10 ^{8.1} FFU/1.7 mlThermostability, virus titreStable (time and temperature details after 2 days at 25° not available)0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at ap- prox. 25°C0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.5 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx.< | Vaccine | VRG | SAG2 | SAD B19 | SAD P5/88 | SPBN GASGAS | | | |
| CompanyMerialVirbacIDTIDTIDTQualityVaccine titer,>8 log10 TCID50/dose>8 log10 TCID50/dose7 log10 FFU/ml10 ^{6.8} – 10 ^{8.1} FFU/1.7 mlThermostability, virusStable (time and temperature details not available)0.16 log10 reduction after 2 days at 25°0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at app- prox. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C / 25°CThe titer not decrease below 10 ^{6.6} FFU/ml after 2 days at approx. 25°CMelting point of bait casing> 50°C43°C35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment)35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment)Not mentionedSafety | Proprietary name | Raboral | Rabigen | Fuchsoral | Rabifox | Rabitec | | | |
| QualityVaccine titer,>8 log10 TCID50/dose>8 log10 TCID50/dose7 log10 FFU/ml7 log10 FFU/ml106.8 - 108.1 FFU/1.7 mlThermostability, virus titreStable (time and temperature details not available)0.16 log10 reduction after 2 days at 25°0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at ap- prox. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx.The titer not decrease below 106.6 FFU/ml after 5 days at approx. 25°CMelting point of bait casing> 50°C43°C35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment)35°C (new bait casing under development)Not mentionedSafetyNon-target species tested52approx. 30approx. 30 found in salivary glands of young dogsNone in foxes, nodents, skunks and dogsNone (no information on species)May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- la palatinaNo Reversion to virulence after7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in fove backpassage in fove5 backpassages in suckling mice5 backpassage in suckling mice5 backpassage in suckling mice5 backpassage in suckling mice106.8 FFU/dose (i.e. 10.6.6 FEU/dose (i.e. 10.6.6 FEU/dose (i.e. 10.6.6 FEU/dose (i.e. 10.6.6 | Company | Merial | Virbac | IDT | IDT | IDT | | | |
| Vaccine titer, Thermostability, virus titre>8 log10 TCID50/dose>8 log10 TCID50/dose7 log10 FFU/ml7 log10 FFU/ml $10^{6.8} - 10^{8.1}$ FFU/1.7 mlThermostability, virus titreStable (time and temperature details not available)0.16 log10 reduction after 2 days at 25° prox. 25°C0.4 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°C0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 25°CThe titre not decrease below $10^{6.6}$ FFU/ml after 5 days at approx. 25°CMelting point of bait casing> 50°C43°C $35^{\circ}C$ (new bait casing under devel- opment) $35^{\circ}C$ (new bait casing under development)Not mentionedSafetyNon-target species tested52non in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferretsNone in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogsNone in foxes, ordents, skunks and dogsNone (no information on species)May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsil- la palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassage in fox5 backpassages in suckling mice5 passages in foxes and 10 passages in suckling mice10 passages in suckling mice5 backpassages in suckling miceEfficacy10^7 TCID50/dose $10^{8.1}$ TCID50/dose $10^{6.1}$ TCID50/dose $10^{6.1}$ TCID50/dose $10^{6.0}$ log10 FFU/ml $10^{6.2}$ log10 FFU/ml $10^{6.2}$ log10 FFU/ml | Quality | | | | | | | | |
| Thermostability, virus Stable (time and temperature details not available) 0.16 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. 0.26 log10 reduction after 7 days at approx. The titer not decrease after 7 days at approx. Melting point of bait casing > 50°C 43°C 35°C (new bait casing under development) approx. 15 approx. 7 Stety Non-target species tested 52 None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cath, cather (cut case) None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, and 10 passages in suckling mice None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vaccine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsilla palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 passages in suckling mice 5 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in Stable palatina Nore tripter outputs 10°TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.5} FEU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/dose) | Vaccine titer, | >8 log10 TCID50/dose | >8 log10 TCID50/dose | 7 log10 FFU/ml | 7 log10 FFU/ml | 10 ^{6.8} -10 ^{8.1} FFU/1.7 ml | | | |
| titretemperature details not available)after 2 days at 25°after 7 days at ap- prox. 25°Cafter 7 days at ap- 25°Cafter 7 days at approx. 25°Cbelow $10^{6.6}$ FFU/ml after 5 days at approx. 25°CMelting point of bait casing> 50°C43°C35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment)35°C (new bait casing under development)Not mentionedSafety | Thermostability, virus | Stable (time and | 0.16 log10 reduction | 0.4 log10 reduction | 0.26 log10 reduction | The titer not decrease | | | |
| not available) prox. 25°C 25°C 5 days at approx. 25°C Melting point of bait casing > 50°C 43°C 35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment) 35°C (new bait casing under development) Not mentioned Safety state state state state state Non-target species tested 52 approx. 30 approx. 20 approx. 15 approx. 7 Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, rodents, skunks and dogs None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsil- la palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy 10° ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.1} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml | titre | temperature details | after 2 days at 25° | after 7 days at ap- | after 7 days at approx. | below 106.6 FFU/ml after | | | |
| Melting point of bait casing > 50°C 43°C 35°C (new bait casing under devel- opment) 35°C (new bait casing under development) Not mentioned Safety | | not available) | | prox. 25°C | 25°C | 5 days at approx. 25°C | | | |
| casing casing under devel- opment) under devel- opment) under development) Safety Non-target species tested 52 approx. 30 approx. 20 approx. 15 approx. 7 Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, rodents, skunks and dogs None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsil- la palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassage in foxe 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.1} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.5} FEU/doise (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mb | Melting point of bait | > 50°C | 43°C | 35°C (new bait | 35°C (new bait casing | Not mentioned | | | |
| Safety opment) opment) Safety supprox. 30 approx. 20 approx. 15 approx. 7 Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None (no information or species) May be found to the site of vaccine uptake i.e. the tonsil-la palatina No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassage in fox 5 passages in suckling mice suckling mice NMRI mice Efficacy Iof ³ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mb | casing | | | casing under devel- | under development) | | | | |
| Safety Non-target species tested 52 approx. 30 approx. 20 approx. 15 approx. 7 Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, rodents, skunks and dogs None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsil- la palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.1} ICID50/dose 10 ^{6.1} ICID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mb | | | | opment) | | | | | |
| Non-target species tested 52 approx. 30 approx. 20 approx. 15 approx. 7 Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, may be rodents, skunks and dogs None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- la palatina No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/doi in 1.7 mb | Safety | | | | | | | | |
| tested Image: constraint of the sector of | Non-target species | 52 | approx. 30 | approx. 20 | approx. 15 | approx. 7 | | | |
| Tested Horizontal transmission None in foxes (adults and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets None in foxes, may be found in salivary glands of young dogs None in foxes, rodents, skunks and dogs None (no information on species) May be found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the <i>tonsil- la palatina</i> No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 passages in foxes and 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in NMRI mice Efficacy E Lowest protective does torotective 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.5} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mb | tested | | | | | | | | |
| transmission and cubs), dogs, cats, cattle, ferrets found in salivary glands of young dogs rodents, skunks and dogs on species) found to the site of vac- cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- la palatina No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 5 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice NMRI mice Efficacy Efficacy 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mb | Tested Horizontal | None in foxes (adults | None in foxes, may be | None in foxes, | None (no information | May be | | | |
| cattle, ferrets glands of young dogs dogs cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- la palatina No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/doi in 1.7 mt) | transmission | and cubs), dogs, cats, | found in salivary | rodents, skunks and | on species) | found to the site of vac- | | | |
| Image: No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in suckling mice Efficacy Lowest protective does protective does the protective does to retain the protective does | | cattle, ferrets | glands of young dogs | dogs | | cine uptake i.e. the tonsil- | | | |
| No Reversion to virulence after 7 backpassages in mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in yoro cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox 5 backpassages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 10 passages in suckling mice 5 backpassages in NMRI mice Efficacy Lowest protective does tortetive 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FEU/gel in 1.7 ml) | | | | | | la palatina | | | |
| virulence after mice (intracerebral and footpad), 10 backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox suckling mice and 10 passages in suckling mice suckling mice NMRI mice Efficacy Image: State Stat | No Reversion to | 7 backpassages in | 5 backpassages in | 5 passages in foxes | 10 passages in | 5 backpassages in | | | |
| and footpad), 10 suckling mice backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox Efficacy Economic protective 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/doi in 1.7 m) | virulence after | mice (intracerebral | suckling mice | and 10 passages in | suckling mice | NMRI mice | | | |
| backpassages in vero cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox Image: Constraint of the second | | and footpad), 10 | | suckling mice | | | | | |
| cell cultures, 1 backpassage in fox cell cultures, 1 Efficacy Lowest protective 10 ⁷ TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} FFU/ml in 1.7 ml) | | backpassages in vero | | | | | | | |
| backpassage in fox Image: constraint of the second se | | cell cultures, 1 | | | | | | | |
| Efficacy 10° TCID50/dose 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose 10 ^{6.0} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.2} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} does toted FEU/ml 10 ^{6.9} log10 FFU/ml 10 ^{6.9} log10 FFU/ml </td <td></td> <td>backpassage in fox</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | backpassage in fox | | | | | | | |
| Lowest protective 10^7 TCID50/dose $10^{8.1}$ TCID50/dose $10^{6.0}$ log10 FFU/ml $10^{6.2}$ log10 FFU/ml $10^{6.8}$ FFU/dose (i.e. $10^{6.6}$ FFU/ml in 1.7 ml) | Efficacy | | | | | | | | |
| does tested | Lowest protective | 107 TCID50/dose | 10 ^{8.1} TCID50/dose | 106.0 log10 FFU/ml | 106.2 log10 FFU/ml | 10 ^{6.8} FFU/dose (i.e. 10 ^{6.6} | | | |
| uose testeu FFU/mi mi 1./ mi) | dose tested | | | | | FFU/ml in 1.7 ml) | | | |

TCID-tissue culture infective dose; FFU-focus forming units; EMEA-European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products

Some modified-live rabies virus oral vaccines may have residual pathogenicity depending on the level of attenuation of the viral strain, as the successive selections from the original strain may produce hazardous and uncontrolled results, and variants may remain pathogenic both in target and non-target species (WHO, 2013). Different animal species were involved in vaccine-induced rabies cases, such as foxes (Müller et al., 2009; Hostnik et al., 2014), raccoons, striped skunks and even castles (Fehlner-Gardiner et al., 2008; Vuta et al., 2016b; Vuta et al., 2017; Pfaff et al., 2018).

Table 2. The main results from safety trials carried out on target and non-target species using the VRG, SAG2, SAD B19 and GASGAS vaccine

| Vaccines | Carnivora | Rodents | Immunocompromised mice | Non human Primates |
|----------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| VRG | No pathogenicity | No mortality | No mortality | No pathogenicity for |
| | | | In 40 SCID mice | 11 chimpanzees |
| | | | (109 TCID50) | (109PFU/ml) |
| | | | | 24 Common squirrel |
| | | | | monkeys (108PFU/ml) |
| | | | | (Rupprecht, 1992) |
| SAG2 | No pathogenicity | No mortality | No mortality | No pathogenicity for |
| | | | In 10 SCID mice | 10 baboons |
| | | | (108 TCID50) | (109 PFU) |
| | | | | (Bingham, 1997) |
| SAD B19 | No pathogenicity | Up to 6% mortality | No mortality in 10 SCID | No pathogenicity for |
| | in several species | in several European | mice (107.4FFU), | 12 baboons |
| | Pathogenic for Skunk | wild species | mortality in | (108.3 FFU) |
| | at high doses (109 FFU) | (Artois, 1992, | 2/10 nude mice | (Vos, 1999) |
| | (Rupprecht, 1990; Vos, 2002) | Vos, 1999) | (107.3 FFU) | |
| GASGAS | No pathogenicity | No mortality | No mentioned | No mentioned |

TCID-tissue culture infective dose; FFU-focus forming units; PFU-plaque forming units; SCID-severe combined immunodeficient mice

CONCLUSIONS

In Europe, oral vaccination by means of vaccine baits has been found to be successful in eliminating terrestrial wildlife rabies in most cases. However, the ultimate success of ORV campaigns requires a long-term strategy from competent authorities and cross-border cooperation between countries. Rabies in wildlife was eliminated in those countries where the vaccination campaigns were planned on a national level and coordinated with neighboring countries.

The vaccines authorized in the EU for oral vaccination are Raboral (V-RG strain), Rabigen (SAG2 strain), Fuchsoral (SAD B19 strain), Lysvulpen (two dominant sub-populations of SAD Bern and SAD B19-'like' viruses) and a new vaccine, Rabitec (SPBN GASGAS) The efficacy of the existing vaccines is one of the factors that has contributed substantially to the success of rabies monitoring, control and elimination in several European countries. Very few vaccine-associated cases of rabies in the field have been reported and published so far. Nevertheless, worries still exist related to the residual pathogenicity of attenuated live vaccines that could induce rabies in certain conditions.

REFERENCES

- Artois M., Guittre C., Thomas I., Leblois H., Brochier B., Barrat J., 1992. Potential pathogenicity for rodents of vaccines intended for oral vaccination against rabies: a comparison. Vaccine, 10: 524-528.
- Besch A., 2001. Eradication de la rage au Grand-Duché de Luxembourg. In Proceedings. Journée internationale sur la Rage. Palais Abbatial de Saint Hubert, 28 mars 2001, Saint-Hubert, Belgique, C.O.8.: 1-14.
- Bingham J., Schumacher C.L., Aubert M.F.A., Hill F.W.G., Aubert A., 1997. Innocuity studies of SAG-2 oral rabies vaccine in various Zimbabwean wild nontarget species, Vaccine 15: 937-943.
- Breitenmoser U., Müller U., Kappeler A., Zanoni R. G., 2000. Die Endphase der Tollwut in der Schweiz. Schweiz. Arch. Tierheilk., 142: 447-454.
- Brochier B., Aubert M.F.A., Pastoret P.P., Masson E., Schon J., Lombard M., Chappuis G., Languet B., Desmettre P., 1996. Field use of a vaccinia-rabies recombinant vaccine for the control of sylvatic rabies in Europe and North America. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz., 15: 947-970
- Brochier B., Deschamps P., Costy F., Hallet L., Leuris J., Villers M., Péharpré D., Mosselmans F., Beier R., Lecomte L., Mullier P., Roland H., Bauduin B., Kervyn T., Renders C., Escutenaire S., Pastoret P.-P., 2001. Elimination de la rage en Belgique par la vaccination du renard roux (*Vulpes vulpes*). Annales de Médecine vétérinaire, 145: 293-305.
- Bruyère V., Janot C., 2000. La France bientôt déclarée officiellement indemne de rage. Bulletin épidémiologique mensuel de la rage animale en France, 30 : 1-2.
- Cliquet F., Picard-Meyer E., Mojzis M., Dirbakova Z., Muizniece Z., Jaceviciene I., Mutinelli F., Matulova M., Frolichova J., Rychlik I., Celer V., 2015. In-Depth Characterization of Live Vaccines Used in Eu-

rope for Oral Rabies Vaccination of Wildlife, PLOS One, 10(10), 1-8.

- European commission, 2002. The oral vaccination of foxes against rabies. Strasbourg: European commission—Health and Consumer Protection
- EFSA Journal, 2015, Update on oral vaccination of foxes and raccoon dogs against rabies
- European Pharmacopoeia 5th edition, 2005.
- European Medicine Agency, 2017. CVMP assessment report for Rabitec (EMEA/V/C/004387/0000)
- Fehlner-Gardiner C., Nadin-Davis S., Armstrong J., Muldoon F., Bachmann P., Wandeler A., 2008. ERA vaccine-derived cases of rabies in wildlife and domestic animals in Ontario, Canada, 1989–2004. J Wildlife Diseases; 44:71–85.
- Hostnik P., Picard-Meyer E., Rihtaric D., Toplak I., Cliquet F., 2014. Vaccine-induced rabies in a red fox (Vulpes vulpes): isolation of vaccine virus in brain tissue and salivary glands. J Wildl Diseases; 50:397– 401.
- Lafay F., Benejean J., Tuffereau C., Coulon, P., 1994. Vaccination against rabies: construction and characterisation of SAG2, a double avirulent derivative of SAG-Bern, Vaccine, 12: 317-320.
- Mahl P., Cliquet F., Guiot A.L., Niin E., Fournials E., Saint-Jean N., 2014. Twenty year experience of the oral rabies vaccine SAG2 in wildlife: a global review. Vet Res.; 45: 77.
- Müller T., Bätza H.J., Beckert A., Bunzenthal C., Cox J.H., Freuling C.M., 2009. Analysis of vaccine-virusassociated rabies cases in red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) after oral rabies vaccination campaigns in Germany and Austria. Arch Virol;154:1081–91.
- Pastoret P.P., Brochier B., Languet B., Duret C., Chappuis G., Desmettre P. P., 1996. Stability of Recombinant Vaccinia Rabies Vaccine in Veterinary Use. In: New Approaches to Stabilisation of Vaccines Potency. F. Brown (Ed.). Dev. Biol. Stand. Basel, Karger, 87: 245-249.
- Pfaff F., Müller T., Freuling C., Fehlner-Gardiner C., Nadin-Davis S. ,Robardet E., Cliquet F., Vuta V., Hostnik P., Höper D., 2018. In-depth genome analyses of viruses from vaccine-derived rabies cases and corresponding live-attenuated oral rabies vaccines, Vaccine,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.01.083

- Rupprecht C.E., Charlton K.M., Artois M., Casey G.A., Webster W.A., Campbell J.B., Lawson K.F., Schneider L.G., 1990. Ineffectiveness and comparative pathogenicity of attenuated rabies virus vaccines for the striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*). J. Wildl. Dis., 26: 99-102.
- Rupprecht C.E., Hanlon C.A., Cummins L.B., Koprowski H., 1992. Primate responses to a vacciniarabies glycoprotein recombinant virus vaccine. Vaccine.;10: 368-374.
- Schaarschmidt U., Müller T., Albert G., Muluneh A., Cox J., Selhorst T., Schlüter, H., 2002. Erfahrungen mit der Begleitdiagnostik zur oralen Immunisierung der Füchse in Sachsen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung einer standardisierten Tollwutserologie. Dtsch. Tierärztl. Wschr.

- Slate D., Algeo T.P., Nelson K.M, Chipman R.B., Donovan D., Blanton J.D., Niezgoda M., Rupprecht C.E., 2009. Oral Rabies Vaccination in North America: Opportunities, Complexities, and Challenges, PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 3(12):e549.
- Steck F., Wandeler A., Bichsel P., Capt S., Schneider L., 1982. Oral immunisation of foxes against rabies. A field study, Zentralbl Veterinaer Med B. 29 372-396.
- Vos A., Neubert A., Aylan O., Schuster P., Pommerening, E., Müller T., Chivatsi D.C., 1999. An update on safety studies of SAD B19 rabies virus vaccine in target and non-target species. Epidemiol. Infect., 123: 165-75.
- Vos A., Pommerening E., Neubert L., Kachel S., Neubert A., 2002. Safety studies on the oral rabies virus vaccine SAD B19 in striped skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*). J. Wildl. Dis., 38: 407-411.
- Vuta V., Barboi Gh., Boncea D., Barbuceanu F., Vlagioiu C., 2016a. Evaluation Of The Oral Rabies Vaccination Program Of Red Foxes (*Vulpes Vulpes*) population In Romania In 2014, 9-11 June 2016, Bucharest, Agriculture for Life. Scientific Papers. Series D. Animal Science. Vol. LIX, ISSN Online 2393-2260; ISSN-L 2285-5750.
- Vuta V., Barboi Gh., Motiu R., Zamfir L., Barbuceanu F., Vlagioiu C., 2016b. *In vivo* and *in vitro* characterization of a vaccine rabies strain isolated from field, Farmacia, 64:3

- Vuta V., Siposean C., Barboi Gh., Boncea D., Vlagioiu C., 2016c. Oral Rabies Vaccination multianual program, 2015-2017, in Romania, 18-20 August London, UK, 3rd International Veterinary Congress, J Veterinar Sci Techno, 7:5 (Suppl).
- Vuta V., Picard-Meyer E., Robardet E., Barboi Gh., Motiu R., Barbuceanu F., Vlagioiu C., Cliquet F., 2016d. Vaccine-induced rabies case in a cow (*Bos taurus*): molecular characterisation of vaccine strain in brain tissue, Vaccine, 34:5021–5025
- Vuta V., Boncea D., Siposean C., Sevastru A., Grigore M., Lupescu C., Barbuceanu F., Vlagioiu C., 2017.The program for oral rabies vaccination used in Romania and the methods for monitoring its effectiveness, Rev Rom Vet, 27/3: 27-29
- Virus Taxonomy 9th report, 2012.
- WHO Expert Consultation on Rabies, second report, 2013
- Zanoni R.G., Kappeler A., Müller U.M., Müller C., Wandeler A.I., Breitenmoser U., 2000. Tollwutfreiheit der Schweiz nach 30 Jahren Fuchstollwut / Rabies free status of Switzerland after 30 years of fox rabies. Schweizer Arch. Tierheilk., 142: 423-429.

Zienius D., Pridotkas G., Lelesius R., Sereika V., 2011. Raccoon dog rabies surveillance and post-vaccination monitoring in Lithuania 2006 to 2010. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica, 53:58.